

WAITING RESTRICTION REVIEW 2014A - OBJECTIONS TO TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

APPENDIX 2 - Summary of letters of support and objections received to Traffic Regulation Order

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p><b>AB4-Queens Road</b></p> <p>1) Objection, Queens Road Business</p>	<p>1) The proposed taxi rank will be in front of two properties only; namely Grosvenor Casinos and No. 3 Queens Road, an office building.</p> <p>Our objections are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Late night nuisance, littering, urination and general anti-social behaviour.</li> <li>-This area does not have the policing resource that the Town Centre benefits.</li> <li>-There is a significant pinch point on this slip road, leading to possible road blockage with numerous taxis subsequently blocking London Road.</li> <li>-The proposed taxi rank will attract day time parking. The current waiting and loading restriction demonstrate the slip road was designed to be kept clear. The proposal could greatly impair the safety and effective movement of vehicles along this slip road.</li> </ul>	<p>This area is covered by CCTV and can easily be monitored should anti-social behaviour occur.</p> <p>The existing waiting and loading restrictions will apply outside the proposed taxi rank hours. The operational hours for the taxi rank are between 11pm-5pm when there is very little traffic movement and is unlikely to cause disruptions or effect road safety.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised</b></p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p><b>KE6A_NO - Norcot Road Resident Parking</b></p> <p>1) Comments from resident</p>	<p>1) Permit parking is good for residents but question how this will be enforced. Trees/hedges need to be cut back to allow parking at the back of the pavement area.</p>	<p>The proposed Resident Parking scheme will greatly benefit residents with no off-street parking on the north side of Norcot Road.</p> <p>Maintenance work will take place to ensure parking facilities are of the required standard prior to the implementation of Resident parking scheme.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised</b></p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>KE5_TI Notcot Road No.115-127</p> <p>1) Support, Norcot Road resident</p> <p>2) Objection, Norcot Road resident</p>	<p>1) We consistently have vehicles parking, obstructing our drive, not allowing us to arrive home and park up, or leave the drive at all. We fully endorse the parking restriction that are proposed and hope they are implemented soon.</p> <p>2) our objections are based on the following grounds:  - The restriction will have a considerable negative impact on residents on both sides of Norcot Road  - The restriction will result in vehicles parking east of No.127 Norcot Road on the bend and impact on traffic flow in both directions on this busy bus route.  - The current parking layout works without problems for the majority of residents</p>	<p>The council has received a number of reports from residents regarding inconsiderate parking close to private access.</p> <p>The proposed waiting restriction is for a section of “no waiting at any time” on the north side of Norcot Road where majority of properties have off-street parking.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.</b></p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>MI7-Harrow Court</p> <p>1) Objection, Harrow Court resident</p>	<p>1) We own a strip of land adjacent to the access road where waiting restriction is being proposed and believe we have the right to park on the access road. Residents are aware parking on this stretch of the access road could cause problems for refuse collection and have agreed not to park there on collection days.</p>	<p>The narrow strip of land refers to will be defined by a road marking before the waiting restrictions are introduced.</p> <p>The access road also allows delivery vehicles to reach a small number of other properties.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised.</b></p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p data-bbox="174 268 315 371"><b>MI1 - Tazewell Court</b></p> <p data-bbox="174 416 378 632">1) Comments, Resident and Secretary of Caroline Ct Maintence Ltd</p> <p data-bbox="174 676 378 782">2) Comments, Resident of Tazewell Ct</p>	<p data-bbox="510 416 1330 596">1) Waiting restriction should include the turning area on the southern side and alongside No.9 Tazewell Court on the east side. A part-time waiting restriction during the normal working hours on weekdays may be effective whilst alienating residents less.</p> <p data-bbox="510 676 1346 935">2) The total parking ban may cause problems with some residents who park on this part of the road. I also feel waiting restriction should be introduced on the southern side at the turning head to allow refuse vehicle to turn easily. The service road which runs north to south should benefit from a 2 hours limited waiting bay to stop all day commuter parking.</p>	<p data-bbox="1482 416 1973 671">Residents are in support of the proposed waiting restriction in Tazewell Court but would like additional restrictions around the southern turning head and along the north/south stretch alongside No.9 Tazewell Court.</p> <p data-bbox="1482 716 2000 1010"><b>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised and further review the southern turning head and the stretch along the side of No. 9 Tazewell Court in the next waiting restriction review programme.</b></p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>PA - College Road &amp; Culver Road</p> <p>1) Supports, Resident of College Rd &amp; Culver Rd</p> <p>2) Comments Resident of College Road</p> <p>3) Comments Resident of College Road</p> <p>4) Objection, Resident of College Road</p> <p>5) Objection, Resident of Culver Road</p> <p>6) Objection, Resident of Culver Road</p> <p>7) Objection, Resident of Culver Road</p> <p>8) Objection, Unknown</p>	<p>1) A total of 29 no. households wrote in to support the proposed Resident Parking Scheme.</p> <p>2) No objection to the proposed Resident Parking Scheme, but suggest a restriction between 8am-10pm would be sufficient</p> <p>3) The parking scheme will be detrimental to residents and their visitor's freedom to park. The proposed HMO's application should be rejected.</p> <p>4) Non-resident parking is not a problem in College Road. The proposal will reduce the amount of parking spaces by 20% (taking into account of parking in front of driveways.</p> <p>5) I don't feel that the restriction will benefit the residents as the vast majority of the cars parked here belong to residents.</p> <p>6) Non-resident parking is not a big issue. Welcome the removal of existing DYL but not RP.</p> <p>7) There is no lack of parking spaces (photos provided) either during the week days or weekends, and never failed to find a space to park. The scheme would cost and restrict resident to 2 permits per household. Would prefer RP during the day but unrestricted in the evening between 8pm-8am.</p> <p>8) Limiting maximum number of 2 permits per household would harm the local area and make parking more difficult.</p>	<p>Residents of College Road and Culver Road have long campaigned for a resident parking scheme to be introduced.</p> <p>The current consultation exercise of a 8am-8pm (from the previous 10am-4pm) shared use Resident Parking scheme is in response to the comments made by residents and businesses in the previous consultation carried out in May 2014.</p> <p>There is a high level of support to the Resident Parking Scheme from the majority of residents.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised</b></p>

9) Objection, Unknown	9) I live in a house with multiple cars and the maximum of 2 permits wouldn't work for us.	
10) Objection, Unknown	10) I live in a home of multiple occupancy with 6 others and hence the resident permit scheme does not work for us.	

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
PA2 -Heath Road  1) Objection, Resident of Heath Road	1) The proposal will not address the current rat running, parking and speeding problem and will is likely to push parking further into Heath Road. Other areas should be consider are: waiting restrictions across driveway and at the bend of the road to create passing bay, regular parking enforcement including verge/footway parking and a solution to cut out rat run.	This proposal does not deal with the wider issue of "rat running" but will move parking away from the junction resulting in it being easier to turn, therefore it is <b>recommended to implement as advertised.</b>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>RE5- Lancaster Close</p> <p>1) Objection, Resident of Lancaster CI</p> <p>2) Objection, Resident of Lancaster CI</p> <p>3) Objection, Resident</p> <p>4) Objection, Resident</p>	<p>1) I feel the proposed restriction is an over reaction to the current problem and limit the use of parking by visitors especially on Saturday. Alternative option should be considered such as: Mon-Fri, 2 hours limited waiting or No waiting Mon-Fri.</p> <p>2) Existing parking issue that occurs in the daytime constitutes a minor inconvenience at most. We need on street parking for our visitors at all time.</p> <p>3) I believe this is not in the best interests of the resident of Lancaster Close. We need on street parking for our visitors and tradesmen. A system similar to Kendrick Road which allows for 2 hours parking would be a better option.</p> <p>4) Don't consider school drop off and pick up from Lancaster Close is a problem, it is currently taking place in a safely manner. Would prefer "no waiting Mon-Fri 10am-3.30pm" or 2 hours limited waiting to deter all day parking.</p>	<p>Although with ample off-street parking, some residents would only accept a weekday waiting restriction.</p> <p>Whitby Drive is currently restricted Mon-Sat 8am-6.30pm and it is important to have a consistent restriction on both roads, as this will allow for better enforcement and to minimise confusion over the waiting restriction.</p> <p>It is therefore recommend the proposal to be removed from the current programme and a revised proposal of No waiting Mon-Fri 8am-6.30pm for both Whitby Drive and Lancaster Close be consulted with the residents in the next waiting restriction review programme, WRR2014B.</p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>TH1 - Peppard Service Road &amp; Newlands Avenue</p> <p>1)Comments, Ridgeway resident</p> <p>2) Support, Peppard Road Resident</p> <p>3) Support, Peppard Road Resident</p> <p>4) Support, Peppard Road Resident</p> <p>5) Support, Peppard Road Resident</p> <p>6) Support, Peppard Road Resident</p> <p>7) Objection, Berrylands Road Resident</p>	<p>1) The proposed waiting restriction will push nuisance parking into Berrylands Road and The Ridgeway.</p> <p>2) I hope the proposed restriction will be brought into force to deter commuter parking on Peppard Road that has caused difficulties for many years.</p> <p>3) I fully support the proposal on Peppard Road. I believe the proposal will help alleviate commuter parking problem which currently occur from 6.30 in the morning till late at night.</p> <p>4) I wish to record my support for the proposal.</p> <p>5) Inconsiderate parking has increased dramatically over the past years and on several occasions my access was blocked, making it impossible to access.</p> <p>6) I agree with the proposed waiting restriction in Peppard Road.</p> <p>7) I feel the proposal will simply move commuter parking to Berrylands Road and The Ridgeway, it does not seem logical to simply move the issue from one place to another. Berrylands Road and The Ridgeway have a much higher housing density than the surrounding roads thus the impact of extra commuter parking will lead to more profound issues that one currently experienced in Peppard Road and Newland Avenue. Waiting restriction should apply to driveways, pedestrian crossing point and</p>	<p>The main concern is the parking issue to be displaced into areas where parking remains unrestricted, ie. Berrylands Road and The Ridgeway.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended to implement the restriction as advertised, and keep Berrylands Road and The Ridgeway area under review for any further restrictions if required.</p>

8) Objection, Baltimore Drive Resident	<p>junctions to ensure road safety.</p> <p>8) Whilst this proposal is welcomed, it only shifts the problem to Berrylands Road and The Ridgeway. No consideration has been given to the consequences. It would be more appropriate to have a 1 hour limited waiting outside No. 43/45 Peppard Road and a verge/footway parking ban should also be considered in this part of road.</p>	
--	---	--

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p>WH2- Chagford Road</p> <p>1) Objection Chagford Road Resident</p>	<p>1) Parking is already very limited and waiting restriction would be detrimental to residents. Parking also has a traffic calming effect in this small cul-de-sac.</p>	<p>Parking has been observed very close to the junction causing visibility issues for those using the side roads. It is important to protect the junctions with no waiting at any time to enhance visibility for all road users.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended to introduce this restriction as advertised</p>



Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p><b>WH6-Whitley Wood Lane</b></p> <p>1) Objection, Resident</p> <p>2) Objection, Resident</p>	<p>1) This waiting restriction will not allow friends, relatives, maintenance vehicles and carers to park. The restriction will become a breach of our rights not to allow us to have visitor parking outside our house.</p> <p>2) I strongly believe the introduction of waiting restriction on Whitley Wood Lane will encourage speeding traffic. Parked cars, although a nuisance, slow the traffic down naturally.</p>	<p>This is a relatively short stretch of Whitley Wood Lane but an area that is subject to on-street parking that effects traffic flow and public transport progress. The road is already traffic calmed. There is opportunity to park across the wider area.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to introduce this restriction as advertised</b></p>

Scheme	Objections/supports/comments received.	Officer Response and Recommendation
<p><b>WH8-Whitley Wood Road</b></p> <p>1) Objection, Resident</p> <p>2) Objection, Resident</p> <p>3) Comments, Resident</p>	<p>1) The proposal is over the top and will not help with the current parking issues. We are not too worried about people park outside my house to use the shops but do not welcome the proposed 1 hour limited waiting restriction, especially on Saturday when friends and relative tend to visit. Existing waiting restrictions around the junction of Whitley Wood Road and Northumberland Avenue is not being enforced and is constantly blocked by cars.</p> <p>2) The loss of kerb side parking spaces will penalise residents. Unless parking is provided for shoppers, the changes will only aggravate the situation by moving the problem down the road. The proposal will not help the neighbourhood. So I am firmly against this proposal.</p> <p>3) There are 2 registered blue badge holders in our household. We would urge you to stop short of the waiting restriction at number 201 or include addition 2 disabled bays outside 205.</p>	<p>The majority of the properties along this section of Whitley Wood Road have off-street parking. The proposed waiting restriction will ensure private driveways are not obstructed and allow resident to gain access to their private drive at all time.</p> <p>The proposed 1 hour limited waiting bays will provide a quick turnaround in an area where a very limited number of spaces are available.</p> <p><b>It is therefore recommended to introduce this restriction as advertised.</b></p>